

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/637,806	08/11/2000	Douglas W. Versaw	S123	7708	
30955	7590 10/01/2003				
LATHROP & GAGE LC 4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE SUITE 300			EXAMINER		
			BOEHLER, ANNE MARIE M		
BOULDER, C	O 80301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				\mathcal{N}				
	Application No. Applicant(s)							
' Office Action Summary	09/637,806		VERSAW, DOUGLAS W.					
Cinec Action Gammary	Examiner		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ann Marie M		3611	idrass				
Peri df r Reply		" Shoot with the t	on espondence de	147 633				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of th	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory m will apply and will expire, cause the application	wever, may a reply be tir inimum of thirty (30) day e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.	<u>June 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-	final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				ne merits is				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requir	ement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce								
Applicant may not request that any objection to th								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examir	ner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kanıner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		25 11 0 0 5 4404	n) (d) nn (f)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under .	35 U.S.C. 9 119(8	a)-(u) or (i).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	to have been rec	poived						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		Stage				
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) [_ 5) [_ 6) [_	Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT	o(s) FO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/637,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Kauffman shows, in Figure 4, an extension piece 22 for a horizontal trailer tongue

2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kauffman.

40. The extension piece includes a front attachment 56 for removable connection to a hitch mechanism and a rear attachment 45b connectable to the trailer tongue. The extension has a height difference between its front and rear attachments, which, when

installed, equals the height difference between the tow hitch and the trailer tongue. The

rear end of the extension piece is removably attached to the trailer by removable bolt 49

(see col. 4. lines 14-16, which indicates the extension is removed from the channel

beam 40 by removing pins 47 and 49).

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3611

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alford.

Alford shows a motorcycle hitch assembly with first and second side members 30, 32, which are bend to be concave down and which are removably attached to the motorcycle frame. A cross member 35 interconnects the side members and supports a ball hitch 18. The side members fit inside the fender. The ball hitch is removably connected to the cross member via a releasable attachment 26 and/or by a removable nut 22.

Alford shows ends of the side members extending farther down than the cross member. It would have been an obvious design choice to trim the ends of the side members, which serve no apparent purpose, in order to reduce the overall weight of the hitch assembly.

5. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alford in view of Maier.

Alford shows a motorcycle hitch assembly with first and second side members 30, 32, which are bend to be concave down and which are removably attached to the motorcycle frame. A cross member 35 interconnects the side members and supports a ball hitch 18. The side members fit inside the fender. The ball hitch is removably connected to the cross member via a releasable attachment 26 and/or by a removable nut 22.

Application/Control Number: 09/637,806

Art Unit: 3611

Alford shows the ends of the side members extending farther than the cross member.

Maier shows a ball hitch mount for a small straddle seat vehicle including a pair of side members 34, 36, and a front cross member 30 on which the ball hitch 16 is mounted. The ball hitch is mounted via a tubular receiver which does not extend beyond the reaward most edge of the cross member. Maier indicates the receiver may be round, as shown, or square.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to terminate the ends of the cross members of the Alford hitch side members at the cross member and have the hitch receiver extend no farther than the rearward most edge of the side members, as taught by Maier, in order to provide a smooth rear edge for the mounting which lessens the likelihood of injury due to contact with the hitch and receiver.

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alford as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McIntosh.

Alford lacks a means for leveling the trailer when the trailer and motorcycle are coupled.

McIntosh shows a ball hitch height adjustment mechanism which allows for leveling of a trailer when the trailer is attached to a towing vehicle.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a height adjustment mechanism for the Alford hitch assembly, as taught by McIntosh, in order to adjust the height and angle of the connection for stable towing.

Art Unit: 3611

7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kauffman in view of Lazar.

Kauffman is silent regarding a pin for removably connecting the hitch socket to the extension. However, this arrangement is conventional and demonstrated by Lazar, which shows a transverse bolt for removably connecting hitch socket 26 to trailer tongue 24.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to removably connect the hitch cup or socket 56 of Kauffman to arm 22 using a transverse pin, as is old and well known and taught by Lazar, in order to remove the socket for routine maintenance and repair.

8. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that claim 7 now defines over Kauffman because Kauffman shows a pivot pin 47 rather than a removable in. However, in col. 4, lines 14-16, Kauffman indicates that the bar 22 can be removed by removing pins 47 and 49. Therefore, removable connection of the bar 22 is clearly taught in the Kauffman reference.

Regarding claims 1-6, 10-13, removing a small amount of unused material is not believed to be a patentable distinction. Also, the Maier reference clearly teaches terminating side mount members, as well as the receiver, at the cross bar and provides a number of reasons for doing so.

Art Unit: 3611

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 10-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie M Boehler whose telephone number is 703-308-0422. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Monday-Thursday, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/637,806

Art Unit: 3611

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Anne Marie M Boehler Primary Examiner Page 7

Art Unit 3611

amb